Hi,

On 05/05/19 at 10:35, F.Tremblay wrote:

Hello, can anyone confirm the same problem with multiple NFQUEUE ?

yes I can confirm that, could you create a redmine ticket for that?

Thanks

Working:
suricata -D -q 0 -c /etc/suricata/suricata.yaml -vv

Working:
suricata -D -q 1 -c /etc/suricata/suricata.yaml -vv

Not working:
suricata -D -q 0 -q 1 -c /etc/suricata/suricata.yaml -vv

May 04 16:13:12 debian suricata*: [660] <Info> -- binding this thread 0 to queue '0'
May 04 16:13:12 debian suricata*: [660] <Info> -- setting queue length to 16384
May 04 16:13:12 debian suricata*: [660] <Info> -- setting nfnl bufsize to 2457600
May 04 16:13:12 debian suricata*: [661] <Info> -- binding this thread 1 to queue '0'
May 04 16:13:12 debian suricata*: [661] <Error> -- [ERRCODE: SC_ERR_NFQ_THREAD_INIT(78)] - nfq thread failed to initialize

Thanks. Cheers.

F

History

#1 - 05/05/2019 08:56 PM - Andreas Herz
- Assignee set to OISF Dev
- Target version set to Soon

#2 - 05/06/2019 05:53 AM - Victor Julien
- Status changed from New to Assigned
- Assignee changed from OISF Dev to Alexander Gozman
Alex, can you check this? We're not meant to break backwards compatibility.

Victor Julien wrote:

Alex, can you check this? We're not meant to break backwards compatibility.

Ok, I'll look into it in a day or two. I have some ideas about what can go wrong.

Done, PR: https://github.com/OISF/suricata/pull/3834

- Status changed from Assigned to Closed
- Priority changed from High to Normal
- Target version changed from Soon to 5.0rc1

https://github.com/OISF/suricata/pull/3834